

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
08/04/2003	James W. Adkisson	BUR920020105US1	1608	
30678 7590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			WALBERG, TERESA J	
SUITE 800		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1990 M STREET NW			TALERTOMBER	
N, DC 20036-3425				
	08/04/2003 00 02/17/2005 BOVE LODGE & H	08/04/2003 James W. Adkisson 00 02/17/2005 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP T NW	08/04/2003 James W. Adkisson BUR920020105US1 00 02/17/2005 EXAM BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP WALBERG T NW ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/604,609	ADKISSON ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Teresa J. Walberg	3742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

1.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,609 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10, in the reply filed on February 1, 2005, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- Claims 11-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 1, 2005.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

The present abstract should be amended to remove the phrase "the present invention discloses".

Application/Control Number: 10/604,609

Art Unit: 3742

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheable (4,592,665) in view of Jones (3,444,399).

Wheable discloses a device including a resistor (14,16,18,20), a heater (42) disposed proximate the resistor (14, 16, 18, 20), a tuner (44, 46) electrically coupled to the resistor (14,16,18,20), a heater driver circuit (col.4, lines 26-39) coupled to the heater (42) and the tuner (44, 46), wherein the heater (42) heats the resistor (14,16,18,20) to adjust the resistance of the resistor (14,16,18,20) in response to the tuner (44, 46). With respect to claim 10, Wheable discloses the device being a semiconductor chip. See col. 3, lines 29-30.

Wheable does not state that a dielectric is disposed between the heater and the resistor or around the resistor, heater, and tuner. However, Kimura teaches providing dielectric layers between and around heaters and resistors. See Fig. 14 and col. 7, lines 30-33.

It would have been obvious in view of Kimura to provide dielectric layers between the heater and the resistor and around the resistor, heater, and tuner in the device of Wheable to prevent undesired short circuits between the elements of the device. Art Unit: 3742

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fisher, Hasegawa et al, Ahlport, Frerking, Weber et al, Hada et al, Kuntz, and Japan document 61-237050 are cited to show heating of circuit elements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 571-272-4790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teresa J. Walberg Primary Examiner

Doresa Walkery

Art Unit 3742